Department of Languages and Literatures 2023

Rubrics for Appendix H

Points	Criteria	
30 points: excellent	Excellent research profile in relevant field includes:	
	 Yearly publications in relevant field up to 5 years old 	
	 Monograph published or in progress 	
	 Scholarships received 	
	Recent academic awards	
	 Member of academic societies 	
	Community involvement	
	Creative writing	
	 Strong evidence of pedagogical development; 	
	development of course materials	
	 Statement of teaching philosophy 	
	 Description of teaching practices; how they are 	
	applied to achieve student outcomes	
	 Evidence of wide, varied, and excellent range of 	
	teaching experience	
	 Evidence of teaching effectiveness 	
	Example syllabi	
20 points: very good	Very good research profile in relevant field includes:	
	 Constant publications in relevant field up to 5 years 	
	old	
	 Monograph published or in progress 	
	 Scholarships received 	
	Recent academic awards	
	 Member of academic societies 	
	Community involvement	
	 Very good evidence of pedagogical development; 	
	development of course materials	
	 Statement of teaching philosophy 	
	 Description of teaching practices; how they are 	
	applied to achieve student outcomes	
	Evidence of very good range of teaching experience	
	Evidence of teaching effectiveness	
45	Example syllabi	
15 points: good	Good research profile includes:	
	 A minimum of 2 publications in relevant field up to 5 	
	years old	
	Scholarships received	

B. Competency to teach the posted course (CV, application, cover letter etc) (maximum 50 points)

	 Recent academic awards Member of academic societies Good evidence of pedagogical development; development of course materials Good range of teaching experience Evidence of teaching effectiveness Example syllabi
10 points satisfactory	 Satisfactory research profile includes: Some publications in relevant field older than 5 years Scholarships received Academic awards older than 5 years Member of academic societies Some evidence of pedagogical development; development of course materials Satisfactory range of teaching experience Example syllabi
0 points:	 Supporting documentation absent or poor No evaluation of teaching / student satisfaction No example syllabi No external teaching certificate(s) No ongoing professional development
ii) previous teaching or tutorial or lab course (Maximum 10 points)	experience in the posted or similar or substantially similar Full points: seniority points in posted or substantially similar course at Laurier Partial points for similar or substantially similar courses taught elsewhere
iii) ability to perform the duties of the	Partial points for relevant teaching in another delivery mode (e.g. tutorials, labs, online) taught at Laurier or elsewhere. course (Maximum 10 points)
	Up to 10 points: has taught in the specific format/ modality; has taught in Active Learning classroom; has taught the number of students (large or small class); has taught upper- level class or first year class (depending on posting); has developed or shows ability to develop the syllabus and learning objectives Up to 5 points: has taught a range of courses in identical formats, with similar number of students by assigned syllabi; has taught similar content but not to posted course level; 0 points: has never taught in specific format or delivery mode; has never taught posted upper-level class or first year class.

C. Teaching Qualifications (not specific to the course) (Maximum 30 points)

i) teaching-related experience

A. (total seniority points **not already counted in Section b**) including courses taught, tutorials, labs, training

Maximum 15 points

B. If A is less than 15

I. Partial points for courses or tutorials and labs taught elsewhere (Max 5 points) Ii teaching training (including but not limited to training related to pedagogy, equity, diversity, inclusivity, Indigeneity, anti-racism, anti-oppression, and accessible learning) (Max 5 points)

Cii. assessment of teaching skills, including teaching-related transferrable skills demonstrated outside a teaching context. (*e.g.* DELF examiner; translation work, etc.)

15 points: very good
10 points: good
5 points: satisfactory
0 points: poor or no evidence

Notes	Assessment of candidate's teaching skills will be based on CV, course surveys and other information submitted. LL PTAC considers medians as these are more favourable to candidates. No assessment of teaching qualifications may rely exclusively or primarily on student questionnaires or student opinions. When reviewing student course surveys PTAC considers trends in median scores consistently 6 + to be very good, trends in median scores consistently 5+ to be good, trends in median scores fluctuate some your good some poor below 4 to be setisfactory.			
	fluctuate, some very good, some poor, below 4 to be satisfactory and trends in median scores medians consistently below 4 or			
	student surveys absent to be poor.			
Patterns and trends in evaluations	LL PTAC recognises that different cohorts of students respond differently to different teaching styles and that there are various factors which can affect evaluations. In cases where there is significant fluctuation in median scores, anomalies, or poor evaluations PTAC will look to the Official File or other documentation for explanation.			

D. Other qualifications and experience relevant to the course (directly relevant to the course advertised)

Points	Criteria	
20 points: very good	•	Ongoing and up to date professional development
	•	Teaching certificate(s)
	•	Teaching award(s) or nominations for

	 Duties around multi-sectional courses
	 Contributions to curriculum development
	 Community engagement: contributions to
	academic/cultural life of students (special events,
	trips, conferences, exchanges etc.); high school liaison
	 Equity, diversity, and inclusion experience
	 Indigenous knowledge systems
15 points: good	Professional development is ongoing; member has
	attended workshops/courses, not necessarily current
	 May have teaching certificate(s)
	• May have teaching award(s) or nominations for
	Duties around multi-sectional courses
	Contributions to curriculum development
	Community engagement: contributions to
	academic/cultural life of students (special events,
	trips, conferences, exchanges etc.); high school liaison
	• Equity, diversity, and inclusion experience
	Indigenous knowledge systems
10 points: satisfactory	Some evidence of professional development
	Some evidence of community engagement
	Some evidence of participation in curriculum
	development
5 points: limited	Limited evidence of professional development
	 Limited evidence of pedagogical development;
	development of course materials
	Limited evidence of community engagement
0 points	Supporting documentation absent or poor
,	